

communicated that these assumptions are based upon prior history as a foundation. Mr. Reed added that he wanted to be able to advise the figure representing the revenues that White River Township has paid and the figure representing what it was spent on.

Mr. Mellott reminded Sub-Committee Chairs that the original objectives be reviewed – both common and specific – making sure each objective is satisfied. He reviewed that there would be presentations from three sub-committees in August (Infrastructure, Health & Safety, and Planning and Land Use), and then in September, the Finance Sub-Committee will report. He advised that there may be meetings with legislative bodies to assure that all is understood, with a final presentation at the end of October, or beginning of November. Mr. Hanlin asked if there would be time for attorney review, and Mr. Mellott advised that this has already started with reviewing the reports from the Governance and Parks & Recreation Sub-Committees.

Mr. Reed noted that, as the tasks are undertaken, new information is coming in, resulting in additional new tasks being assigned. Fact-finding is becoming continuous.

In reviewing the prior Council/Board Presentation, Mr. Don Cummings felt that some council members might need to see beyond their present office. He noted that the size of the Parks Board and City Council seemed to be a concern to the council, but felt that the amount of work required for a larger city had not been taken into consideration. Mr. Ed Woods asked what the number of council members was on other 2nd class cities, and Mr. Hanlin advised that it was 9, however, if Greenwood stays with only 9 members, old Greenwood would, in effect, lose representation. Mr. Woods asked what the council member total is planned on being after the interim, and Mr. Hanlin thought it would be left at 11. Mr. Hanlin added that he did not think the council would have the authority to change that, as, the referendum would be approving the council with 11 members.

Mr. Cummings was disappointed that all of the members on the council and board were not aware of the work the sub-committees had done on the boundaries. Mr. Hanlin acknowledged the residency requirement discussion that was held, and was glad it was brought to the Commission's attention.

The next Committee meeting is scheduled for August 6, 2009, at the city building. There was some discussion regarding the need for an additional Committee meeting on August 20, since there would be another Presentation to Council/Board on August 17. This is to be determined and communicated to all.

With no further business before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned at 8:50pm.



Patrick A. Sherman, Chairman