

EXHIBIT B

WHITE RIVER/GREENWOOD REORGANIZATION COMMITTEE

MEETING MINUTES

SUBCOMMITTEE: Parks & Recreation

Date: 5-7-2009

LOCATION: Greenwood City Bldg.

Time: 7:00 PM

MEMBERS ATTENDING (MTG HOURS):

PUBLIC MEETING: YES x NO

Brad Valentine _____ (1.5)	Don Cummings _____ (1.5)	_____ (1.0)
Eric Kapke _____ (1.5)	Dennis Sherfly _____ (1.5)	_____ (1.0)
Laura Hilden _____ (1.5)	Tom Traut _____ (1.5)	_____ (1.0)

MEMBERS REPORTING (PAST VOLUNTEER PROJECT HOURS): this is a one-time “catch up” section due to past misunderstanding that above hours are only hours spent in meetings. Time below is to-date as of May 7th exclusive of meetings time already reported. Future minute will include outside-of-meeting time in the above section.

Brad Valentine _____ (4.0)	Don Cummings _____ (15.0)
Eric Kapke _____ (30.0)	Dennis Sherfly _____ (1.0)
Laura Hilden _____ (10.0)	Tom Traut _____ (4.0)

TOPICS DISCUSSED:

- 1) Uncertainties of our P+R planning process presented by township board’s request to consider a “split township” solution _____
- 2) Make-up and term transition for Parks Board; Make up of Pathways Board _____
- 3) Financial planning elements needed from us—presented to us by newly assigned “Finance” subcommittee liaison. (Leland Miller)
- 4) Rec Impact Fees; (5) Open Space Requirements; (6) abandoned flood area; (7) funding stream

RECOMMENDATIONS:

- 1) Let existing Greenwood PB members serve out terms (author’s note of May 17--may now be pre-empted by Hanlin “balance” proposals.) Pathways Board (under a DPW) should have at least one member from PB to promote partnerships.
- 2) P+R financial plans must include (a) an Operating Budget for each year 2010, ’11 and ’12--must be ready by September; (b) Captial Budget (incl. bonding plan)—including a “park land acquisition and development” non-lapsing capital fund; (c) Budget to cover existing cemetery maintenance in old Greenwood and newly acquired cemetery maintenance from township govt.; (d) stipends for Pathways Board and Parks Board members are probably too small to concern ourselves with in the scale of the entire budget; (e) a one-time cost for professional “Comprehensive Plans” for both Parks and for Pathways in the first year of the new municipality.
- 3) Should seek to acquire, at no cost, the flood buyout areas that the county will end up owning, for potential parks, greenspace, pathways.
- 4) Revised earlier plan; will now seek to equalize Rec Impact Fee across new municipality. However, start with two distinct RIF districts, with that provision sunseting into a single district at the end of the decided “unified city” transition (8-12yrs).

- 5) Must see that the new city establishes greenspace set-asides for developers. Details still being investigated.
- 6) Find some way to assure WRT residents that their contributions will not be used to fill out Freedom Park by instituting some sort of as-yet-to-be-determined 80/20 rule regarding only putting small portion to Freedom Park..which is, after all, the nearest and largest park that will serve them the fastest...and reserving the rest for infrastructure at other locales within WRT.
- 7) Any established real estate transfer tax should exempt commercial and industrial property; and apply only to residential, farmstead and agricultural lands.

NEXT MEETING: DATE: 5-21-09 TIME: 7pm LOCATION: WRT Trustee's Office.

ASSIGNMENTS:

- 1) Laura to contact county GIS dept re flood area “where”, and Dan Batta re the “if” part, etc.
- 2) Eric to collect inventory on current cemeteries being cared for by township government
- 3) Dennis will begin to draft a spreadsheet budget (per guidelines above)
- 4) Would be useful to know realtor home sales forecast, builders association forecasts, and any forecasting done by Records Office relative to “recordation fees”—all helping us to forecast revenue stream for a potential transfer tax - Don will contact as many as needed to get needed info.

ATTACHMENTS:

PUBLIC MEETING NOTICE	YES <u> </u>
PUBLIC MEETING VERBAL TESTIMONY	YES <u> </u>

INFORMATION REFERENCES: None